| | Case 3:07-cv-01836-JAH-JMA D | ocument 32 | 2 File | ed 03/21/2008 | Page 1 of | 4 |
|----------|---|--------------------|----------------|---|------------|-----------|
| : . | | | | | | |
| 1 | Sheila S. Trexler, SBN 123871 Tamara Glaser, SBN 200502 | | | | | |
| 2 | NEIL, DYMOTT, FRANK, MCFALL & TREXLER | | | | | |
| 3 | A Professional Law Corporation 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 2500 | ÷ | | | | |
| 4 | San Diego, CA 92101-4959 P 619.238.1712 | | | | | |
| 5 | F 619.238.1562 | | | * : | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendant MORTEZA MIRKARIMI, M.D. | | | | | |
| 7 | X INTEREST | COD A DOTE C TO | ICEDIC | E COLIDE | | |
| 8 | | STATES D | | | | |
| 9 | SOUTHERN | N DISTRIC' | T OF C | ALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | CARMEN POWELL, | } | | o.: 07 CV 1836 | | |
| 12 | PLAINTIFF, |) | | MEMORANI ON TO DISMIS | | PORT OF |
| 13 | V. |)) | Data | A!1 20 2000 | | |
| 14 | CITY OF CHULA VISTA; CHULA POLICE DEPARTMENT; DET. RU' HINZMAN; AGT. ANDERSON; AG | ΓΗ | Date: Time: | April 28, 2008 2:30 p.m. Honorable John | A Houston | |
| 15 | OYOS; SGT. CERVANTES; AND P ENTITIES UNKNOWN; COUNTY | ERSON) | | Courtroom 11 | A. Houston | |
| 16 17 | DIEGO AND SAN DIEGO COUNTY PROTECTIVE SERVICES WORKE | Y) | | | | |
| 18 | JULIE SMITH, NADIA NAJORS, M PETFINGER, REBECCA SLADE A | EGAN) ND) | | | | |
| 19 | PERSONS AND ENTITIES UNKNO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL; DIANA | OWN;) CHASE;) | | | | |
| 20 | NURSE DEBRA DAVIES, LCSW, Defendants. | { | | | | |
| 21 | D OTORIGING. | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | 1// | | | | | |
| 24 | 111 | | | | | |
| 25 | 111 | | | | | |
| 26 | 111 | | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTI | 1 ON TO DISMISS | S | | 07 CV 1836 | ЈАН (ЈМА) |

| 1 | Defendant MORTEZA MIRKARIMI, M.D. respectfully submits the Court should gran | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | his Motion to Dismiss in that Plaintiff Carmen Powell failed to submit an Opposition to the | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Motion. Pursuant to the Court's February 12, 2008 order, Plaintiff had up until March 7, 2008 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | to submit her opposition papers. Failure to file an opposition can be construed as Plaintiff's | | | | | | | |
| 5 | consent to granting the motion (CivLR 7.1(f)(3)(c)). Because she failed to do so, Defendant | | | | | | | |
| 6 | MORTEZA MIRKARIMI, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint should be granted. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 9 | Dated: March 21, 2008 | M | NEIL, DYMOTT, FRANK, MCFALL & TREXLER A Professional Law Corporation | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | Ву | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 12 | | | Sheila S. Trexler Tamara L. Glaser | | | | | |
| 13 | | | Attorneys for Defenda MORTEZA MIRKAI | the second secon | | | | |
| 14 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | • | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

2 on the parties in this action by placing a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as follows: 3

Carmen Powell 372 Bay Leaf Drive Chula Vista, CA 91910 Plaintiff in pro per

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

1

X BY MAIL - As follows: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California in the ordinary course of business. The envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on this date following our ordinary practices. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

 $|\mathsf{X}|$ BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE - As follows: To be filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court through ECF, and that ECF will send an e-mail notice of the electronic filing to the parties to this case at their respective e-mail addresses.

Executed on March 21, 2008, at San Diego, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Joanna Ryan Shippee

23

24

25 26

27

28